


University of Central Lancashire

Poking Fun at the Surface: Exploring Touch-Point Overloading on the Multi-touch Tabletop with Child Users

Dr Dan Fitton,
Child-Computer Interaction Group
University of Central Lancashire
DBFitton@UCLan.ac.uk




Child Computer Interaction



University of Central Lancashire

Some Context

- Desktop Interfaces
 - Constrained
 - Usually one person, one screen, one mouse
 - Well understood
- Natural User Interfaces
 - Focus on the technology
 - Focus on the exciting applications
 - The underlying understanding lags behind.




Child Computer Interaction



University of Central Lancashire

Multi-touch Tabletops

- Collaborative
- Different Interaction methods
- Still exploring new interaction possibilities
- Still exploring new application possibilities
- We know little about users in this context
 - Preferences, Constraints, Collaboration, Models, etc




Child Computer Interaction



University of Central Lancashire

This paper

- Designing a game (for children) on the surface
 - How should they interact?
 - How many touch points can they deal with?
 - How will they organise themselves (logically, physically)?
 - Can they work together meaningfully?
 - I could play-test, but I want a deeper understanding




Child Computer Interaction



University of Central Lancashire

Touch Overloading

- Aims
 - How many simultaneous touch points can be supported?
 - How do children work together to succeed?
- Developed Surface Pipes
 - Pipes spring leaks of different sizes, children work together to 'save' as much water as they can
 - Number of leaks grow with time, leaks persist, locations are random.




Child Computer Interaction


University of Central Lancashire

The Study

- School classes on a Mess Day
 - 19x 8-9 (year 3), 23x 10-11 (year 5)
- Groups of 5-7 children
 - Small groups then whole group play together.
- All interactions logged
 - Score – amount of 'water' lost
 - Accuracy - % touches on target
- Sessions observed and videoed



Child Computer Interaction

Surface Pipes

uclan
University of Central Lancashire

CHCI
Child Computer Interaction

Results

- 23 is the magic number
 - After this accuracy is tailing off
- Max touch points 27–42 (7 per user!)
- Accuracy between 51-78%
 - % of touches on target
- Girl groups 10-11 scored highest
 - ~6,000 (mean ~12,000)
- Boy group 8-9 scored lowest
 - ~18,000

uclan
University of Central Lancashire

CHCI
Child Computer Interaction

Findings

- Successful collaboration was very apparent but happened rarely
- Microsoft Surface not designed for little fingers
- Spreading of fingers was problematic
- Also problems with occlusion, coordination/competition, communication

uclan
University of Central Lancashire

CHCI
Child Computer Interaction

Questions?

- Thanks for listening!
- Dan Fitton
- DBFitton@UCLan.ac.uk
 - www.danfitton.com
 - www.chici.org

uclan
University of Central Lancashire

CHCI
Child Computer Interaction